G R S App 4 [X 4]

1942-43

File No 6-62

Previous References

(Municipal)

Serial Nos 24, 51, 59, 76, 85

Later References

Ind 6-43 & 31-41

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos June

Part B for May 43

SUBJECT

Langarkhana establishment Madhujir mej.—

85 - To Dy Comm, Vizagapatam
Ac Comptroller
No L 11094-5 dt 14-5-43
- Sanctions the proposal

Serial No

From
The Deputy Commissioner, Jhansi
Letter No A 6 C 4102/41-42 dated 1st October 1942
States that the Madhugarh Town Municipal Council have been incurring an expenditure of Rs 2/- p m - at the rate of to every individual - admitted to the Langarkhana Establishment since 1920-21 and that there are 8 such persons enjoying the allowance at present and requests Sanction of Govt as the Comptr has taken objection in audit for want of - previous Sanction of Govt

1) The expenditure is being incurred since the year 1920-21 on the authority of the council resolution and provision made in the budget of the Municipal Council As the Comptr has taken objection to this irregularity in his audit of the accounts of the Municipal Council for 1939-40, Sanction of Govt is sought for

Submitted for orders

7/10/42

2) Pl put up the Budget of Municipality for 1942-43 when it is received

What is the progress made under Langarkhana doles for the current year?

for 7/10/42

3) Submitted under the Budget file for 1942-43

V.

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 04155—GPB—55 000—20-10-41

2) Bangarakuna estt of Govt Madhugiri Town Municipality

2140

File No 41 of 1942	General and Revenue Secretariat
	Serial No

No proposal has been made for Bangarakuna doles for the current year We have been bought forward for having continued this establishment from 1921-22 onwards to 1941-42 only

For orders

14/10/42 4) A statement showing the amounts spent each year up to date may be called for What need was there for being provided from the Budget every year?

Is there no idea of continuing it from 1942-43? If the reply is in the positive, why has not provision been made in the Budget for 1942-43? What is the powers of the Town Municipalities Act under which these doles are being given?

PL enquire

5) Draft invited for appl

Law Secy 31/10/42

21/10/42

11/11/42

6) Secy 12/11/42

7) Issue 12/11/42

To see Dy Comr Tumkur Dept No 4 4792 & 13-11-42

Requests to furnish the information referred to in para 4 supra

Secy to Govt 14/11/42

RECEIVED 12 NOV 1942 GEN & F CTT

SECY LAW DEPT * 17 NOV 1942 * GOVT OF MYSORE

Lawyarkhana Establishments of Madhupur Municipality 2140/c

General and Revenue Secretariat

M File No 4 - 915/J of 1942 [App F III—2

Serial No

From The D C Jamalpur
Letter no. Mc 4102/41-42 dt 22.12.42
Forwards the information called for along with the connected papers

6) It is stated that provision is made for the purpose in the current years budget of the Municipality. A sum of Rs 96/- is said to be due to the 8 Lawyarkhanas at Rs 2/- each since January 42. The source from which the amount is to be met is not pointed out. It amounts to Endorsing the irregular proposal of the Municipality.

Subd. 9) It will be seen from the statement enclosed (Flag S) that Lawyarkhana dolls are being distributed in the Municipality for the year 41-42 at the rate of Rs 2/- per month. No objection has been raised in audit during the year 1939 no further payment at present due sometime in Jan 43. It is stated that this is being paid on the strength of the results of the Mela for the budget provision made every year.

10) Sanction is now sought to the expenditure incurred during 41-42 and 42-43 to meet an expenditure of Rs 96/- during the current

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 04155—G1 B—55,000— 3-10-41

4)

3915/A

File No 4 of 1942

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

(for 8 years after specified in section 10)
year, as no provision has been made therefor
in the Budget & for granting refunds every January

11) This appears a special one falling
under Sec 56 (b) of the Income Tax
Act 1933. The appeal already
received may be considered & the appeal
in future limited strictly in view of
the new financial condition of the country,
which has taken an overdraft from Govt
Govt to adjust it but it is seen
asking for an extension of time by
six months every time

Sir orders

Lawley 7/1/43

SECY LAW DEPT 8/1/43 * GOVT OF MYSORE *

12) The appeals once already
included may be published

13) In view of the financial
condition, the Council
may be asked whether
allowance granted
may be discontinued

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR L S G & P H Date 25/1/43 No 5765

Secy
6-1-43
Sir 8/1/43

Minutes A S G

14) Secy
22/1/43
Secy
25-1-43

15) Sir please
25/1/43

P 10

Continuance of the Lanpar khana & tabbas
the Madhupur town Manufacture 8915/2

Continuation Sheet HC File No 4 / Current No of 42 3915/2 Page 6009/1

16) Draft letter submitted for appl
26/1/43
17) Draft
27/1/43
26/1

TYPING SECTION
28 JAN 1943
GEN &

Ltr dtd 7445/8 30/1/43 Collr Customs
Land Customs
Do Dc Dumka
From the Dc Santhal
Letter No C 4102/41-2 dtd 4/43 regarding sanction
to the payment of a temp Rs 112/- a mth during
the Current year and to reconsider the same
for the Recent
If it is proposed to discontinue
the Allowance after the Current year, the
funds position should be brought to
the Continuance of the same during
the Current year
Ref Govt Land Rs 6/4 dtd
Calcutta dated
20/4
A = may be sanctioned,
with directions to discontinue the same
from next year
Letter issued
21/4/43
21 Mayhew Sanctioned
accordingly
Marked SG

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER
FD L S G & P H
Date 22 4 43
No 8814

Ans
27-4-43
Ltr issued
27-4-43
Ltr sent
28-4-43
Ltr sent
Ltr sent
Ltr sent

Laccadive Islands — Rabbits in Madhupur
Municipality 1009/L

Continuation Sheet. File No / Current No of Page



Draft GO submitted in a/f
12/3
17/3/43

G.O.Rt.No. 11094-5/S 14 & 1943,
Read as No. required
Take Dr. 'Income-tax'
Council's Secretary

17/3/43
JM
6/7

C F No 45]

214/L

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Tlgm
ph

No A6 C 4102/41-42
Encls 13

OFFICE OF
The Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District,
Tumkur

Date 1st October 1942

Mel 4-42-24

To
C Narayanaswami Naidu, Esq , B A ,B L ,
Secretary to Govt of Mysore,
Law Dept , Bangalore

Sir

Re sanction to the entertainment of Langarkhana establishment, Madhugiri Town Municipality

---00--

In forwarding herewith in original, the connected records received from the President, Madhugiri Town Municipality, on the above subject, I have the honor to state as follows -

The President states that during the audit of accounts of this Municipality for the year 1939-40, the Assistant Comptroller has observed that certain establishments are being continued and expenditure incurred without due sanction of Government The entertainment of Langarkhana establishment is one of such establishments, continued from the year 1920-21 on the strength of the Municipal Council's resolution and due provision thereof being made in the Budget Estimates of this town Municipality during each year

1920-21
1921-22
1927-28
1935-36

At present there are stated to be 8 persons enjoying this allowance at Rs 2/- each as detailed in the margin* The President seeks sanction of Government for having continued this establishment from 1921-22 onwards to 1941-42 and that payments have not been made since December1941 for want of sanction of Government

I request that orders of Government may be kindly obtained in the matter

I have the honor to be,
sir,
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District

AD 1/10

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to 24 WD / 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *19*

Date of issue 13 11 42

Signed by for GR Rao

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section

File No

Serial No

No. 4792 Records

To

The Dy Comr,

Tumkur Dist

Subject - Construction of Thangarkhana establishment in Madhugiri Town Mly

Sir

With reference to your letter no A & C 1102/41-42 dt 1-10-42 on the above subject I am directed to request you to furnish the following information —

1) Under what head was the

Mangalkhand dales being
provided for in the Sub budget
every year from the year 1921-22?
2) Is there no idea of continuing the payment of dales
after the year 1942-43 and if
the reply is in the affirmative, why
has not provision been made therefor
in the current Budget for 1942-43?
3) What is the procedure after
the Jamabandi rolls are finally 1933 under which
these dales are being given?
A statement showing the
amounts spent each year
on this account from the year 1921-22
to up to date may also be
forwarded for the information
of the Council accompany for reference and return

Thanks

Yours sincerely

31/10/42

3915

No. A6 C 4102/41-42
Enc. 164

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.
Dated, Tumkur, the 22nd Decr: 1942.

Rel 4-42-51

P. Suryanarayana Sastri, Esqr., B.A., Ll.B.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District. Tumkur.

To

C. Narayanaswami Naidu, Esqr., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore,
Law Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

Re continuance of Langarkhana establishment in Madnugiri Town Municipality
--oo--

With reference to your letter No L 4792/Ml 4-42-24 dated 13-11-1942, on the above subject, I have the honor to forward herewith in original, the entire records received from the President, Town Municipal Council, Madhugiri and to state as follows -

The President states that provision was being made under the Budget head ' H' Mis 5 Langarkhana, during each year from 1921-22 till now As the finances of the Municipality are slender, no provision has been made during the current year and that a sum of Rs 96/- is due for the 8 members since January 1942 (41-42) and for which sanction of Government is sought for now

It is stated that the provision under Section 55 of the Town Municipalities Act of 1933, seems to be applicable to this case

As desired, a statement showing the amounts spent each year on this account from the year 1921-22 upto date has also been furnished and enclosed with the connected records

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

AR 22/12

Deputy Commissioner
TUMKUR DISTRICT

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Bangalore dated 30 January 1943

No L 7445 rel 4-42-9

FROM

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Law Department

To The Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District,
TUMKUR

Sir, Subject - Re continuance of the Langarkhana establishment in Madhugiri Town Municipality

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No A6 C 4102/41-42 dated 22nd December 1942, on the above subject, I am directed to convey sanction of Government to the expenditure incurred by the Madhugiri Town Municipal Council towards the Langarkhana Doles from the years 1921-22 upto 1941-42 on the strength of the resolutions passed by the Municipal Council and the provisions made therefor in the budgets of the Municipality for the several years

As regards the continuance of the above establishment during the current year, I am to enquire why the

incurring of this expenditure which is of a special nature may not be discontinued, in view of the low financial condition of the Municipality Records accompany

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sd/- C Narayanaswamy Naidu
Secretary to Government,
Law Department

Memo No L 7446.

Dated, Bangalore, the 30 Jan 1943

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Comptroller to Government, for information

By Order,

for Secretary to Government,
Law Department

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT Re Continuance of the Lanpar khana establishment in Mandsaur town Municipality

The above noted subject

Section M
File No 4-42
Serial No 59

Diary No of letter replied to

No L 7445 Records

To

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *194*

The Deputy Commr,
Jawaharlal Rd, Jhansi

Date of issue 30 / 1 / 43

Sir,

Signed by Asst GP Rao

With reference to your letter No A & C 4102/Misl-22 of 22 12 1942, regarding sanction for the above subject I am directed to convey sanction of Govt to the expenditure on account of the Mandsaur Municipality on the Lanpar khana duties from the years 1921-22 upto 1941-42 within the ceiling of the existing passed by the Municipality in the budgets for the years

List of enclosures

As regards the continuance of the above establishment during the current year, I am directed to enquire whether the Municipality

Copied by

Examined by

in covering of this Copy which is of a Plural nature, may

and the Distinct
Postal Numerical Certificate of the Will Records accompa-
nying Thanks etc

for
Law Office
Crabtree

Memo No. L. 7446 B 30 / 43

Copy of a letter from Lord Lee to Gen
Sir Neill Malcolm

GSO
for
War Office
Crabtree

4-42-76

No A6 C 4102/41-42
Enc One

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District
Dated, Tumkur, the 1st April 1943

From

M Navaneetham Naidu, Esq , B A ,B L ,
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District, Tumkur

To -

Narayanaswami Naidu, Esq , B A ,B L ,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Law Department, Bangalore

Re Langarkhana doles in Madhugiri Town Municipality during the current year
=====

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No L 7445/M1 4-42-59 dated 30-1-1943 conveying sanction of Government to the expenditure incurred in this behalf from 1921-22 upto 1941-42 and enquiring why the expenditure of this special nature was proposed to be discontinued etc , I have the honor to forward, herewith, in original the latter received from the President, Town Municipal Council, Madhugiri, stating, that the Municipal Council, have, at their meeting held on 28-2-1943, resolved that sanction of Government may be obtained for paying a sum of Rs 112/- as doles during the current year and that the continuance of the said doles may be discontinued for the present and resumed when funds permit

I request that sanction of Government may be obtained in the matter

I have the honor to be,
sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District

AD 1/4

G R S App F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *194*

Date of issue 14/5 194

Signed by

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a Standing Order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the Press ?

(iv) published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

Section 14

File No 4-42

Serial No 95

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read— Correspondence ending with (Letter no L 7445/ ML 4-42-59 dated 30 1 1943, from the Sec to Govt Law Dept to frame a Bill for the Conveyance with Approval of Govt, to the Expenditure incurred by the Madhugiri Town Municipal Council towards Langur Khana Bds, from the years 1921-22 Order thereon—No ab to 1941-42

2 Correspondence ending with letter no Ab c 4102/4142 dated 1 4 1943, from the D C Tumkur, requesting, in the circumstances explained, Sanction to the Resolution dated 28 2 1943 of the Madhugiri Town Municipal Council to pay

a sum of Rs 112/- as doles during the
current year and to discontinue the said doles
~~to the Patient~~ the funds became
Order No L 11694 Dated
Sanctioned

for
Secy to Govt
Hlth
12/5

Copy to D C Lucknow for
Comptroller to Govt

List of Enclosures

Copied by 12/5

Examined by

WD 04162—GPB—60 000—23-10 41

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read -

Correspondence ending with letter No L 7445/M1 4-42-59 dated 30th January 1943, from the Secretary to Government, Law Department to the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District conveying the approval of Government to the expenditure incurred by the Madhugiri Town Municipal Council towards the Langer Khana Doles, from the years 1921-22 up to 1941-42.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. C.C 4102/41-42 dated 1st April 1943, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District requesting, in the circumstances explained, sanction to the resolution of the Madhugiri Town Municipal Council dated 28th February 1943 to pay a sum of Rs.112/- as doles during the current year and to discontinue the further payment of the said doles till funds permit.

Order No. L 11094-5/M2 4-42-83

Dated, Bangalore, 14th May 1943.

S a n c t i o n e d.

Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.
The Comptroller to Government.

ACH.3.